

1

1

2

3

4

5

6 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

7

8

9 AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

10 ECOVEST-DOJ\_1567963

11

12

13 IN THE MATTER OF

14 UNITED STATES V. ZAK

15

16

17 Cause No. 1:18-cv-05774-AT

18

19

20

21

22

Exhibit

1402

2

1 A P P E A R A N C E S

2

3 BOB McCULLOUGH

4 Senior Vice President and CFO

5 EcoVest Capital

6

7 NORM

8

9 MICHAEL

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

## 1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 MR. McCULLOUGH: EcoVest Capital is our firm.

3 I'm Bob McCullough. I'm the senior vice president and  
4 CFO. Our firm has been in existence as a standalone  
5 company since 2012. But really before that, we were  
6 actually formed as a subsidiary of another company in  
7 2009. And let me get to that in a minute because that  
8 gets to be really important.

9 Our CEO and our founder is a gentleman named  
10 Alan Solon. Alan started his career in brand  
11 marketing up in New York City, moved down here to do  
12 the same sort of thing, you know, as his career  
13 progressed. And at one point in time wrote a business  
14 plan for a second home amenity developer in the  
15 Carolinas and did such a good job he was actually  
16 asked to go run the P&L there. And there -- that's  
17 how he got into real estate development.

18 That path took him towards being a developer  
19 on his own where he actually had a golf course  
20 community development in Chattanooga, Tennessee. And  
21 in both of those instances, he inherited this concept  
22 of a conservation easement. So that's how he kind of

1 infrastructure and we can actually fund phase 1 of  
2 construction as we're out there getting our other  
3 financing in place. I failed to mention that before,  
4 but that comes back to us as an important point here  
5 in a minute.

6 But again, a reasonable expectation of  
7 development, yes, we've got a -- as I mentioned a  
8 permit-ready fully underwritten development plan that  
9 can actually fund phase 1 of construction. If the tax  
10 benefits are going to inure to the benefit of the  
11 investors, we have to have a proper TEFRA partnership  
12 structure in place. Our attorneys of course have  
13 structured that and opined on it, so we're comfortable  
14 there.

15 So the last thing that you need is you need  
16 to have an appraisal done of the valuation. And this  
17 is done by a process called a "qualified appraisal."  
18 Now this is an area the IRS has already promised that  
19 they're going to attack in an audit. We know that.  
20 So once again who we choose to use becomes extremely  
21 important. So we only use the best appraisers out  
22 there. We have predominantly used one gentleman named

1 Claud Clark. Claud has done over 500 conservation  
2 easement appraisals, which by the way is only a  
3 portion of his business.

4 I think just by a way of example on how good  
5 he is when that oil -- BP oil spill happened several  
6 years ago, he was the appraiser that the state of  
7 Alabama hired to do the appraised value on the, you  
8 know, the harm basically that occurred to the state  
9 because of that oil spill. Claud has been successful  
10 in defending himself in the tax courts with  
11 conservation easements. There is -- probably the most  
12 famous case that benefited the taxpayers is a case  
13 called the Kiva Dunes Case. Claud was the appraiser.

14 In that case I came to find out later that it  
15 was actually his first conservation easement  
16 appraisal. So, you know, even more kudos to him. And  
17 he has served as expert witness on behalf of other  
18 taxpayers in several other court cases and appeals  
19 cases.

20 We also used two other gentlemen that we're  
21 starting to diversify with, Clay Vivald (phonetic) and  
22 Ray Veild (phonetic) both again have histories very

1 similar to Claud's. Both have already defended their  
2 work product with the IRS. So that's the type of  
3 person that we use.

4 So I'm going to spend a minute and talk about  
5 how a qualified appraisal works. You mentioned  
6 earlier that you've been involved with these, but they  
7 didn't use this kind of what they call the highest and  
8 best use methodology which the tax courts have  
9 actually prescribed in cases over the last several  
10 years.

11 So let me just explain in kind of layman's  
12 terms how a qualified appraisal works. It works kind  
13 of like a developer would look at a piece of property.  
14 And I'll also explain why the traditional appraisal  
15 methodology doesn't work here. So let's say that  
16 there's a landowner in Myrtle Beach. Myrtle Beach is  
17 going crazy with development right now. And let's say  
18 that that landowner has a traditional fair market  
19 value appraisal, willing buyer to willing seller in  
20 this market today based on, you know, similar property  
21 trade complements. And let's say that that  
22 traditional fair market value appraisal comes back in

1 a million dollars.

2 Well, if that landowner extinguishes these  
3 very valuable development rights forever and takes a  
4 million dollar noncash charitable deduction, they're  
5 going to be underwater, you know, and lose money on  
6 that transaction because they're not going to be able  
7 to fully recoup that base usually based on where their  
8 tax rate is. And the federal government realizes that  
9 that does not provide incentive. So the tax courts  
10 have prescribed what they call this before and after  
11 highest and best use methodology. And as I mentioned,  
12 it effectively looks at the property like a developer.

13 If a developer came in and saw that they  
14 could buy this piece of property from this fellow for  
15 a million dollars, they don't think it's worth a  
16 million. They look at themselves and say, wow, I  
17 think could build product for \$4 million. So now, I'm  
18 \$5 million all in. And I believe I could sell it for  
19 \$15 and net out \$10 million. So, it's worth \$10  
20 million to me. And that's kind of how this before and  
21 after methodology works.

22 The appraiser calculates the first. He

1 calculates the before value. Think about it this way,  
2 what's the value of this property with its development  
3 rights intact that their highest and best use in this  
4 market today before they're extinguished? And in my  
5 analogy, that's worth 10 -- that's the \$10 million  
6 number.

7 And then after they're extinguished, land, of  
8 course, always has value, but with so many  
9 restrictions, that value may be very low. Let's say  
10 it's calculated out to be \$200,000. The difference  
11 between the before and the after, in my example, \$9.8  
12 million, that would be the value of the noncash  
13 charitable deduction generated by placing a  
14 conservation easement on that property in this market  
15 today. Did that make sense how I just explained that?

16 NORM: Yeah. Yeah.

17 MR. McCULLOUGH: Okay, perfect. So, Claud  
18 goes through and he does his before and after  
19 calculation. We now know what the deduction value  
20 should be. And at this point in time, we've really  
21 done everything that we need to do, and I hire the  
22 minimum standards to mitigate risk and we're kind of

1 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

2 I, JIMMY JACOB, do hereby certify that this  
3 transcript was prepared from audio to the best of my  
4 ability.

5  
6 I am neither counsel for, related to, nor  
7 employed by any of the parties to this action, nor  
8 financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of  
9 this action.

10  
11 June 30, 2020

12 DATE JIMMY JACOB

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22